

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.aughe.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,658	07/25/2001	Gundu M. Sabde	500163.04	500163.04 9163	
27076	7590 01/07/2004		EXAMINER		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SUITE 3400 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			CHEN, KIN CHAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1765 DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004		
SEATTLE, WA 98101					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4:	·	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		Applica	lion No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Antion Comments	09/915,	358	SABDE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin	ər	Art Unit	_				
		Kin-Cha		1765					
Period fo	The MAÏLING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on ti	te cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' noisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply seclified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the depatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eation. ays, a reply within the st ry period will apply and by statute, cause the ar	event, however, may a reply be ti atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on .							
		This action is i	non-final						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>53-59</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>53-59</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Ex								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	) objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen 1\⊠ Nais	` '		۰	(DTG (10) D					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and T.	rademark Office				-				

Application/Control Number: 09/915,658

Art Unit: 1765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Priority

1. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number. As sch, the disclosure is objected.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The ingredients for the lubricating planarizing solution is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claims 53-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 53, line 5, since "greater than" and "approximately" define two different possible ranges, the above phrase renders the scope of the claims unclear.

Claims 58 and 59 contain the trade names "CARBOGEL" and "POLYOX". Where a trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with trade name. Accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

4. Claims 53-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruxvoort et al. (US 5,958,794; hereinafter "Bruxvoort").

Bruxvoort teaches a lubricating planarizing solution. A non-abrasive solution without abrasive particles may be ammonium hydroxide (so-called water and ammonia in the instant claims), see col. 12, lines 44-50. A lubricant-additive may be mixed with the non-abrasive solution. Bruxvoort discloses that the lubricant-additives may include various popular lubricants and the like (col. 13, lines 12-30). Therefore, Bruxvoort teaches the claimed lubricant additives because they are some of the most popular lubricant additives used in the semiconductor device fabrication.

Bruxvoort does not disclose the viscosities of the non-abrasive solution, lubricant-additive, and lubricating planarizing solution being used in the composition. The claimed invention differs from Bruxvoort by specifying the viscosity of the non-abrasive solution, lubricant-additive, and lubricating planarizing solution. However, the concentration and composition of each ingredient in the solution is commonly determined by routine experiment. The process of conducting routine optimizations so as to produce an expected result is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. In the absence of showing criticality or new, unexpected (unobvious) results, it is the examiner's position that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention would have found it obvious to perform routine experiments by using various compositions with various viscosities in order to reduce the friction between the fixed abrasive article and the semiconductor wafer surface during the planarization and provide the satisfactory planarization.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,658

Art Unit: 1765

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1461. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0988.

De cember 30, 2003

Kin-Chan Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

K. ( Meter

Page 5